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The three canvases Caravaggio painted for Cardinal Matteo Contarelli’s burial chapel in the church of San Luigi dei Francesi, in Rome, constituted his first public commission. These paintings, dedicated to the cardinal’s patron saint, the apostle and Evangelist Matthew, were highly innovative in style and brought the artist both artistic success and social prominence, as confirmed by Giovanni Baglione’s comment that “This work ... made Caravaggio famous, and the paintings were highly praised by wicked people.” Their fame seems to have persisted even after the emergence of the more classically idealized style of the High Baroque. On the occasion of an apostolic visit to San Luigi on 16 November 1626, it was recorded that the images on the walls of the Contarelli Chapel were painted by “manu insignis Pictoris Michaelis Angeli de Caravagio.” Apostolic visits were essentially inspections of church buildings, their furniture, and all liturgical objects, and artists’ names were rarely recorded. As no other artist who had worked for San Luigi, for example Domenichino, is mentioned in this account, the singling out of Caravaggio appears all the more noteworthy.

The Martyrdom of St. Matthew (1599–1600) stands out among the chapel paintings for a viewer approaching from the nave or the side aisles [Fig. 1]. This is due partly to the picture’s position on the right wall, and partly to Caravaggio’s particular method of heightening the illusion of the dramatic event through intensely modeled figures set in a darkened background. The thematic importance of this painting, however, has not been sufficiently appreciated, and consequently it has been less favored than its pendant, The Calling of St. Matthew. The difficulty in reading the Martyrdom has also influenced the evaluation of its stylistic significance. It has been described as being closer to “traditional compositions” and therefore “less important as a document in the career of Caravaggio the revolutionary.”

One problem is that The Martyrdom of St. Matthew deviates substantially from the traditional iconography of the subject. Various literary sources, both medieval and later, state that Matthew was killed in Ethiopia because he converted and consecrated as a bride of Christ a young virgin, Iphigenia, the daughter of the former king and the betrothed of King Hirtacus. Iphigenia and soldiers are usually the supporting players in paintings of the martyrdom. Two Roman examples, more or less contemporary with Caravaggio’s painting, that conform to this iconography are Girolamo Muziano’s canvas of 1587 for the Mattei Chapel in the church of Santa Maria in Aracoeli [Fig. 2], and the fresco painted in 1599 by an unknown artist on the nave wall of the church of Santi Nereo e Achilleo [Fig. 3]. Even the instructions that Caravaggio inherited from a previous contract with Cavalier d’Arpino specified that Matthew...
...be killed in a temple by the hand of soldiers and we think that it will be best to show him in the act of being killed, either fallen after having received some wounds, or in the act of falling but not yet dead; and in that temple should be a large number of men and women, old and young and babies in variety largely praying, and shown dressed according to their station and nobility, [seated] on benches with rugs and other furnishings.9

In Caravaggio’s picture [Fig. 4], women and babies have been excluded, the murderer is a handsome nude, and no benches are visible. X-rays have revealed that Caravaggio actually painted more than one version of this Martyrdom [Fig. 5].10 His reworking of the canvas is usually attributed to his dissatisfaction with the small scale of his first figures and his desire to intensify the dramatic impact of the tragic scene.11 These are valid observations. What art historians have not noticed, however, is that the earlier versions also differ considerably in some of their iconographic details.

The first version [Fig. 5] appears to be more traditional, as two female figures, one of whom may be Iphigenia, can be discerned, and Matthew is being attacked by armed men, one wearing a helmet. Caravaggio’s final version, on the other hand, eliminates most of the expected narrative details of the known historia. G. P. Bellori complains that “the composition and movements in the painting are insufficient for the narrative though he remade it over twice,”12 and some modern scholars have expressed the opinion that Caravaggio’s attitude was “unhistorical,” or even “anti-historical.”13
A similar departure from traditional narrative becomes apparent when one compares the two paintings of The Conversion of St. Paul which Caravaggio executed in 1600–1601 for the Cerassi Chapel in Santa Maria del Popolo. In the earlier version (now in the Odescalchi Collection, Rome), we note the presence of Christ, an angel, a soldier, and a landscape—that is, narrative details traditionally associated with the subject which were then left out in the painting in the chapel. By reducing descriptive particulars, Caravaggio clearly achieved a more personal and innovative interpretation of his subject matter.

A major change in the final version of The Martyrdom of St. Matthew is the inclusion of three male figures, draped in loin-cloths, in the foreground [Fig. 6]. Most critics of this painting consider them purely decorative “nude repoussoir figures” that recall Mannerist precedents, or ignudi in the manner of Michelangelo. There are many examples of such figure types in earlier sixteenth-century art. It is also possible to see these figures as compositional devices which form, with the figure of the executioner as the apex, a triangle framing Matthew and forcefully enhancing the focal center of the drama. But such an interpretation leaves some questions unsolved. Caravaggio uses

5) Caravaggio, «The Martyrdom of St. Matthew», outline of earlier version as shown by X-rays.

repousoir figures in this painting alone, and they are, moreover, awkward additions which do not connect easily with the rest of the picture. Finally, as Jacob Hess points out, "... why should Caravaggio have gone backward and made use of that favourite requisite of Mannerists' history painting?"

In his report following the cleaning of the Contarelli pictures in 1966, Giovanni Urbani labels these male nudes "neophytes." Maurizio Marini goes further by suggesting that these neophytes are seated on the steps of a baptismal or lustral pool, the water of which can no longer be made out because of the worn surface of the painting. If nothing else, this interpretation provides a logical explanation for the strange void in the foreground of the picture, the nudity of the figures, and the presence, on the right, of a blanket to protect them from the chill of cold stone steps. The deforming qualities of water could then also justify the awkwardness of the reclining neophyte's foot and the distortion of the lower edge of Matthew's chasuble [Fig. 6]. Moreover, the fleeing figure with a dismayed or even horrified face, usually thought to be the artist's self-portrait, is actually seminaked [see his leg and thigh in Fig. 4], as if meant to be identified as a neophyte as well.

This baptismal hypothesis has not been widely accepted. Howard Hibbard, for instance, objects that "a pool never existed in the nave of a basilica," and suggests that Caravaggio perhaps meant to show benches, as called for in the contract. He adds that "since the figures were to be dressed according to their so-
I submit that there is, on the contrary, considerable evidence in favor of the baptismal setting. From late antiquity onwards, the biography, or vita, of an apostle was understood not as a description of individual personality but as a revelation of his role as a successor and imitator of Christ. Pictorial narrative sequences in any chapel dedicated to an apostle therefore focused on representative moments that clearly underlined this role. In the Brancacci Chapel in Florence, for instance, the apostle Peter is exalted as a redeemed sinner and disciple who performed miracles, healed, preached, converted others, and was martyred. Traditional literary and pictorial accounts of the life of Matthew follow a similar pattern.

The most obvious reference to the apostolic mission is the act of baptism in accordance with Christ’s command—as indicated, interestingly, in the Gospel of St. Matthew: “All authority in heaven and on earth has been given to me. Go therefore and make disciples of all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father and the Son and of the Holy Spirit, teaching them to observe all that I have commanded you...” (28:18–20). The thirteenth-century mosaic dome of the Baptistery in San Marco, Venice [Fig. 7], offers a very clear pictorial example of this legacy, with Christ encircled by all his apostles, who are depicted in the act of administering baptism. Directly beneath Christ’s left foot, Matthew is identified by the inscription “A[N]TICA ETIOPIA”. Matthew’s most notable converts in Ethiopia were the king, Eglippus, his wife, Eufenessia, and their daughter, Iphigenia. Several images painted before the Contarelli Chapel depict Matthew baptizing the Ethiopian royal family. Another mosaic in San Marco even portrays the murder of Matthew adjacent to Matthew baptizing the king and queen [Fig. 8]. In this instance, the executioner is young and handsome and wears a fillet tied round his head, very much like the comparable figure in Caravaggio’s picture.

Significantly, Matthew Baptizing the Ethiopian King and Queen was one of the six scenes originally requested by Cardinal Contarelli in a contract of 1565 with Girolamo Muziano, the first
artist commissioned to paint the chapel. The other scenes were to be Matthew’s calling, his martyrdom, his writing the Gospel in the presence of an angel, four prophets, and other events still to be determined. For some unknown reason, Muziano did not actually paint in the chapel. A second contract with Cavalier d’Arpino, dated 1591, called for a scene referring to Matthew’s healing powers (The Resurrection of the King’s Daughter), and substituted for the baptismal scene a historietta depicting Matthew preaching.

Public preaching was considered essential to initiating conversion, and therefore was strongly linked with the act of baptism. In the Brancacci Chapel, the two scenes of Peter Preaching and Peter Baptizing the Neophytes are placed on either side of the altar at the same level. In Muziano’s Mattei Chapel paintings, a preaching scene contains the reference to conversion in a sequence that includes The Calling of St. Matthew, The Resurrection of the King’s Son, and The Martyrdom of the Apostle. When, in 1599, Caravaggio was contracted to complete the Contarelli Chapel, he was instructed to paint only a Calling and a Martyrdom. Thus, a scene related to conversion, either preaching or baptism, was lacking in the Cantarelli Chapel, and Caravaggio, or the cardinal’s heirs and executors, may have thought that a reference to baptism in the Martyrdom was necessary to reestablish the sequential logic of Matthew’s life.

Returning to the picture itself, we note that the void in the lower part of Caravaggio’s Martyrdom resembles the elliptical piscina with descending side steps depicted in another painting of 1599, Cristoforo Roncalli’s The Baptism of Constantine in San Giovanni Laterano, Rome. This fresco refers specifically to the Lateran Baptistry, a venerated building that is still extant. The space in Caravaggio’s picture, on the other hand, is less clearly defined. It might suggest a baptismal chamber or chapel of the kind that once existed in many churches throughout Italy but are no longer found today.

St. Charles Borromeo’s Instructiones fabricae et supellectilis ecclesiasticæ (1577), a handbook of rules for the building of churches and for specific liturgical ceremonies, informs us that two types of baptismal ceremonies prevailed in the latter half of the sixteenth century: that of the Ambrosian rite and that of the Roman. Milan, where Caravaggio was born, practiced the Ambrosian rite, which retained the early Christian form of baptism by immersion, while the Roman rite preferred aspersion, or the
sprinkling of water on a person’s head, as is seen in Roncalli’s fresco. The Roman rite used baptismal fonts rather than piscinae; but even in this case, Borromeo instructions specify that

[a] baptistery should be in the center of the chapel. It should be eleven cubits wide (4.80 m.) and deep enough so that the descent to it from the floor of the chapel consists of at least three steps. By the descent and moderate depth it should bear some resemblance to a sepulcher. At the bottom of the steps there should be a circular space with a diameter of seven cubits and sixteen runes (3.34 m.). The baptismal basin into which the water is to flow should be located in the middle of this space.33

A later book of instructions, Marcello Cavaglieri’s Il Rettore Ecclesiastico, describes a baptismal space as

1 ... a separate chapel with its altar situated facing the Baptizer and readily prepared to use in celebrating holy Mass....
2 In the middle of the chapel let there be the site for the baptismal font in a manner that denotes as much as possible the mysterious shape of a tomb, and that means that it should be so deep as to descend into it from the chapel’s pavement by means of three steps. 3 This space which is to remain empty in the pavement, should be ample in diameter and visible, as appropriate to the proportions of the structure of the Chapel; but never so small, that even after the Font has been placed in it, that it cannot have enough space to comfortably hold the Baptizer and godparents and that means a diameter from the edge of the font to the last step of two and half palmi....34

These instructions were followed as late as the nineteenth century, in a baptistery in the Roman basilica of Santa Maria Maggiore, which has a font surrounded by steps leading into a circular opening.35

With few exceptions, the baptismal chapels described by these liturgical handbooks were later transformed or remodeled, or their function changed completely. The Roman church of San Lorenzo in Lucina is a case in point. The level of this church was preserved from early Christian times up until 1596 or 1598, when the pavement was raised by 1.60 m. to street level.36
Recent excavations have revealed that the early Christian level also included what appears to be a baptismal pool, located directly beneath the “Cappella della Compagnia [del Sacramento]” (Fig. 11), which no longer exists. This chapel served as the church’s baptismal area until 1721, when a new baptistery was built on the other side of the nave. Until this date then, it is probable that the pool was visible as the sepulcher-like cavity specified by Borromeo, thereby reinforcing an important continuity with the church’s early history.

More significantly, San Luigi also once had a baptismal chapel. The first chapel to the right of the entrance, dedicated to St. John the Baptist, served as the church’s baptistery until 1894.


1840. It is difficult to know exactly what this space looked like, but the report based on an apostolic visit in 1564 refers to the need to install there a marble basin. The record of the 1626 visit reveals further that the chapel was equipped with a stone altar in which were inserted the “necessary relics.” The baptistery was dismantled in 1841, and only the present circular design of the marble revetments of the chapel floor [Fig. 12] can provide a possible clue as to its original shape and size.

The Counter-Reformation attached great importance to conserving, restoring, and reviving Early Christian antiquities. Caravaggio was undoubtedly familiar with the early baptismal ritual and its architectural setting. The placement of the altar next to the baptismal area, and the steps descending into a cavity, both features of The Martyrdom of St. Matthew, were essential parts of actual baptisteries existing in his own time. Moreover, the exclusion of women in Caravaggio’s final version of the painting could also be explained by the fact that in the ritual of baptism per immersionem, women were baptized separately from men.

Interpreting the male nudes in the lower part of the painting as adult neophytes or catechumens, we may be reminded of an important institutionalized event in Rome in the late sixteenth century: the frequent public baptismal ceremonies staged for Jewish and Muslim converts. In the Avvisi di Roma we learn, for instance, that on Holy Saturday in 1599, “a few Jews and 5 Turks” were baptized in the Lateran Baptistery with “much pomp and approval.” The Avviso of 22 May 1599 specifies that Pope Clement baptized in the Lateran “7 Jews” of the Carcossa family, and the entry of 2 October states that in the Chiesa Nuova, “6 Jews 4 males and two females” were baptized.

Baptismal ceremonies were conducted with great pomp, reflecting the Counter-Reformation’s missionary zeal. Conversion was certainly one of Pope Clement VIII’s major political concerns. He encouraged missions in the Mediterranean area and in the Orient. On 14 August 1599, he instituted a new congregation of cardinals, De propaganda fide catholica. He also adopted a highly repressive policy against Jews, tolerating them only that they might convert. The bull Caeca et obdurata of 6 February 1593 expelled Jews from the papal territories, allowing them to settle only in major cities like Rome, Ancona, and Avignon, where they could be “tempered from their evil doings by the terror of punishment and [where] ... now and then others will more easily recognize the light of truth.”

As a result of the pressure to convert, neophytes constituted a significant presence in late-sixteenth-century Rome. A first step in the process of conversion was the segregation of potential converts by housing them in the Casa dei Neofiti, near the church of San Giovanni Battista in Mercatello, at the foot of the Capitoline Hill. The Collegio dei Neofiti, on the other hand, was founded by Pope Gregory XIII (1572–85) for converts who had already received baptism and wished to pursue their studies in theology under the guidance of the Jesuits. The Collegio, which in 1601 housed 70 students, was located in the old convent of St. Catherine behind the Pantheon, only a few blocks away from the church of San Luigi. Even Cardinal Francesco Maria del Monte, Caravaggio’s protector while working on the Martyrdom, sponsored a neophyte who took on his name. Significantly, the rector and congregation of San Luigi also prepared neophytes for baptism. The parish records clearly indicate, in bold writing [Fig. 13], the names of ten Muslim males (Hungarians and Turks) baptized in San Luigi on 4 October 1604, and those of two Jews and three Muslims on 2 July 1605.

Active conversion was highly appropriate for a church that served French Catholics. The fact that France was still in part Protestant or heretical troubled Pope Clement VIII deeply, and he considered King Henry IV’s conversion to Catholicism in 1595 a major diplomatic success for himself. The intensity of the pope’s desire to convert others in France is made explicit by his bull Hortatio pastoralis ad episcopi regni Galiae... (20 August 1599), in which he directed all French bishops to give this mission their full attention. The pope’s instructions to papal nuncios travelling to Henry IV’s court also include passionate exhortations to “procure the conversion of heretics and the increase of the catholic religion with every study and with all possible energies.” In a letter sent to the French court on 20 October...
1603, Cardinal D’Ossat wrote, “... heretics abominate the
ceremonies of the Catholic Church and in particular those relat-
ed to baptism, such as the exorcism, the salt, the salva, the oil,
the chrism, the lighted candle, the container for the chrism, and
other things...” Conversion of all non-Catholics was central to
Counter-Reformative Rome; Caravaggio’s allusion to baptism in
the scene dedicated to the apostle Matthew may well have
reflected the pressing urgency of this contemporary issue.

One of the major appeals of Caravaggio’s paintings rests pre-
cisely in his sense of actuality in the conception of his subjects.
His artistic choices involved a careful and strongly focused real-
ism in highly selective but significant details. Matthew’s vest-
ments may serve as example. Muziano depicted Matthew
[Fig. 2] wearing an archaic chasuble reminiscent of those worn
in the early Christian Church. In Caravaggio’s painting [Fig. 4],
on the other hand, Matthew wears a contemporary Roman
chasuble with shortened arm coverings that came into use only
in the latter part of the sixteenth century. Yet he is barefoot, as
would be expected of an apostle. In this manner Caravaggio
brings the symbolic and distant past into the present. Not only
is Matthew’s chasuble contemporary, but it is also black (though
with a gold cross decoration and green lining), and black is the
liturgical color prescribed for mourning. Thus he is dressed as
if he were a priest celebrating a funerary mass. This detail of ap-
parse may have commemorated Cardinal Contarelli’s funeral and
memorial masses, and may also have served as a premonition of
the death of the apostle. Caravaggio has also carefully rendered
the altar with its gradine holding the two lighted candles
prescribed for low masses (one partly covered by the cloud),
liturgical glass vessels for wine and water, and the cross decor-
tion on the altar’s antependium. These particulars remind us that
the cardinal had been an extremely generous patron of San Luigi,
richly endowing his chapel to provide for liturgical vestments and
altar equipment, as well as two daily memorial masses. By
depicting the apostle as a contemporary priest celebrating the
liturgy for the dead, Caravaggio has combined the symbolism of
Matthew’s martyrdom with the reality of the ceremony celebrat-
daily to commemorate the cardinal’s death.

The fully-garbed (albeit barefoot) figure of Matthew con-
trasts with the semi-nudity of his executioner. From the fifteenth
century on, executioners, or the tormentors of Christ and the
martyrs, were often depicted as naked or seminaked figures so
as to be readily identifiable as pagan forces antithetical to Chris-
tianity. A fourth-century description of a painting of the mar-
tyrom of St. Euphemia refers to “a number of executioners,
stripped down except for their short tunics, [who] are beginning
their work...” Sixteenth-century martyrdom scenes also ex-
plained this dichotomy between nudity and full dress as, for ex-
ample, in Sicciolante da Sermoneta’s Martyrdom of St. Catherine
of 1572 in the Cesi Chapel of Santa Maria Maggiore in Rome
[Fig. 14].

As Caravaggio’s handsome murderer is wearing a loincloth
very similar to those worn by the men in the foreground, he
seems to be one of them. As a result, these figures [Fig. 6] have
been interpreted as collaborators of the executioner. Yet they
do not seem to be actively involved, and one appears to be reco-
ing in horror at the event. It seems more likely that Caravaggio
intended his murderer as a pagan, belonging to the same non-
Christian world from which neophytes are summoned to receive
Christian baptism.

The possibility that the murderer could be both a recipient of
baptism and the instrument of Matthew’s death, while dis-
turbing, could also be instrumental in the contracting and com-
bining of two separate moments of the apostle’s life into one in-
tense compositional focus, a narrative device which would be
highly original. In a larger sense, it would indissolubly link the
sacrament of baptism to the act of martyrdom which the Church
conceived as a “second baptism” by blood. Tertullian describes
the connection as follows:

“For he had come” by means of water and blood just as John
[I, V:6] has written; that he might be baptized by the water,
glorified by the blood; to make us, in like manner, called by
water, chosen by blood. These two baptisms He sent out from
the wound of his pierced side in order that they who believed
in His blood might be bathed with the water; they who had
been bathed in the water might likewise drink the blood.

Martyrdom cycles contemporary with Caravaggio’s painting
include baptism scenes which emphasize the analogy between
the life and death of a martyr and that of Christ. In Matthew’s
case, this analogy is even more specific because his death, unlike
that of other apostles, occurred “at the foot of the altar where
he had consecrated the body of Christ ... while praying with open
arms...” – that is, celebrating mass, which in itself implies par-
ticipating in and renewing Christ’s Passion and death. Moreover, Caravaggio has shown Matthew’s blood flowing into the
baptismal pool, that sombre cavity in the foreground, fur-
ther linking symbolically the Eucharist to death and baptism. This
association is reinforced by Caravaggio’s compositional device
of vertically aligning the altar, the dying apostle, and the baptis-
mal opening to create the thematic core of his painting.

Baptism and death had always been closely connected in the
minds of the early Christians, and baptisteries occasionally con-
tained tombs. St. Paul’s Epistle to the Romans clarifies this:

Do you know that all of us who have been baptized into
Christ Jesus were baptized into his death? We were buried
therefore with him by baptism into death, so that as Christ was raised from the dead by the glory of the Father, we too might walk in newness of life. (6:3-4)

Inherent in the sacrament of baptism, therefore, is the sense of a mystical death which implies a spiritual cleansing of sin, a hope of regeneration and resurrection, and the promise of eternal life itself. The theological association of baptism, death, and rebirth seems to find its iconographic counterpart in the placing of the baptismal area at the bottom edge of the painting, not very distant from Cardinal Contarelli’s tomb under the pavement of the chapel.

The dark space can be seen also as the tomb of the martyred apostle. By joining, both physically and symbolically, burial and spiritual renewal, Caravaggio has given the picture immediate relevance to its context within a burial chapel. The theme of the earlier fresco in the chapel’s vault, Cavalier d’Arpino’s St. Matthew Resurrecting the King’s Daughter, was itself eminently suited to convey the hope of salvation in a mortuary setting.

Caravaggio completed the chapel’s spiritual message with allegories that imply both the remission of sin, as in The Calling of St. Matthew, and the miraculous promise of eternal life inherent in the Martyrdom, in which the apostle’s brutal death is rewarded by an angel with a trophy of victory, the palm of eternal glory. By choosing a baptismal setting for this subject, Caravaggio paid tribute to a very important concern: the hope of spiritual rebirth through baptism and death. The early Christian writer Prudentius did the same in his homage to a baptistery built on the spot where two Christians had been martyred:

This is a spot chosen of Christ for raising tried souls to heaven through blood, and for cleansing them with water. Here too mercy flows in the limpid fount and washes away old stains in its new stream. The earth drinks in sacred drops of water or of blood and ever wet streaming to the glory of God.... When you pass from here you will have been raised up through Christ’s wounds, each as he is able, one by the sword, another by water.
CARAVAGGIO’S MARTYRDOM OF ST. MATTHEW


28 See Dell’Acqua, Cinotti, Il Caravaggio e... S. Luigi dei Francesi, F6, p. 146; and Marini, Michelangelo Merisi da Caravaggio, p. 428.

29 See Röttgen, Il Caravaggio, pp. 17–20; Dell’Acqua, Cinotti, pp. 146–47; and Marini, p. 428.

30 The Mattei Chapel was an important precedent for Caravaggio; see Heidemann, “Muziano’s Decoration of the Mattei Chapel,” p. 594. It should be noted that Muziano’s St. Matthew Preaching includes figures, standing or seated in a sunken area, that are very similar to figures in Caravaggio’s Martyrdom.

31 See Röttgen, Il Caravaggio, n. 2; Dell’Acqua, Cinotti, Il Caravaggio e... S. Luigi dei Francesi, F20, p. 150; and Marini, Michelangelo Merisi da Caravaggio, p. 432.


34 “Il Battistero sia situato in una cappella appartata... col suo altare situato a dirimpetto del Ministro battezzante, formato e provveduto al l’uso, di celebrarvi la santa Messa.... 2 Nel mezzo della Cappella, sia adattato al sito per lo Fonte Battesimale in maniera, che denoti al possibile la misteriosa forma di un sepolcro, che è a dire profondo, cosicché dal pavimento della Cappella vi si descedga per tre gradini, ed almeno per uno, di mezzo palmo, e poco più d’altezza, e di un palmo di larghezza. 3 Lo spazio, che deve rimaner vuoto nel pavimento sia per diametro ampio, e patente, quanto componerà proporzionalmente la struttura della Cappella; ma non mai tanto poco, che collocatovi nel mezzo il Fonte non vi rimanga pur anche spazio commodamente capace del battizante, e de’ padrini, che sarà dal la estremità del fonte alla estremità dell’ultimo gradino per diametro almeno due palmi, e mezzo.” Il Rettore Ecclesiastico Instruito nelle regole Della fabbrica, e delle Suppelletillia...:, Naples, 1693, pp. 17–18.

35 This church was reestablished as a parish church in 1820; the new baptistery was built in 1825 and inaugurated in 1827; see D. Taccone-Gallucci, Monografia della Patriarcale Basilica di S. Maria Maggiore, Rome-Grottaferrata, 1911, p. 106.


37 This hexagonal piscina is readily visible today even though it has only in part been cleared out. I am particularly indebted to Dr. Elena Bertoldi for having elucidated the important archeological work being done under the present pavements of San Lorenzo.

38 In 1858, when new chapels were built in San Lorenzo, the Chapel of the Sacrament was destroyed; see Krautheimer, Corpus Basilicarum, p. 165. The chapel is described in the record of the apostolic visit of 20 May 1628: “Sequitur Capella sub Invocatione Sancti Ioannis Baptistae in qua festivis diebus ad maiorem Populi commoditatem conteneatur Sanctissimum Eucharistia Sacramentum.... In ista Capella congregatur Societatis Sanctissimorum Sacramentorum, quae tenetur bis hebdomadae Celebri facere pro animi eiusdem Cardinalis dicta Capella fundatoris. In eadem adest fonts baptismalis insitus, et exterius decenter ornatus, ac neciri omnibus instructus, pene quem adest sacrarum sufficientis caussura muniment, desunt tantum Cancelli.” Acta Sacrae Visitationis (see n. 2, above), folio 404–404
verso. A “Cappella del Battesimo” is mentioned as the third chapel, right after the one built by C. Rainaldi, in O. Pancirolo, *Roma Sacra e Moderna*, Rome, 1725, p. 247.

39 The visit was on July 7: “Deinde visitavit fontes quos ordinavit d. renovari absoluta fabrica vel tempore commodior quo fieri potuit qui- bus voluit d. providere de vase marmoreo amplo et pler. medium concavi dividi locum aque a loco sacriarj ita ut media pars serviat pro sacrario al- tera neso aque baptismale et regatur ut slopro.” *Liber visitae Ecclesiae urbis 1684–1750*, folio 23, Archivio Segreto Vaticano, Armadio 1. The sacrarium is a receptacle within the font which drains the water running off the infant being baptized. See Voelker, *Charles Borromeo’s “In- structions...*” ch. 20, pp. 282–84, figs. 9–11.


41 D. C. Fea, *Descrizione di Roma e suoi contorni*, Rome, 1824, III, 543, describes the baptismal font as being “too small” for French “magnificenza.” In 1825 a new font was placed in the chapel; it was sold in 1841 to the church of Erringham (York) in England; see M. D’Armair- hacq, *L’Église Nationale de Saint Louis des Français à Rome*, Rome, 1894, p. 101. In the church archives are documents concerning the sale of the font (Liasse 290/fasc. 17). I thank Olivier Michel for this information.


44 St. Charles Borromeo also prescribes that an altar be placed in a baptistery: “Provided there is sufficient space in this [baptismal] chapel only one altar should be erected. It should be 2 cubits away from the other altars....” Voelker, *Charles Borromeo’s “Instructiones...*,” ch. 20, pp. 282–84, figs. 9–11.
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