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Gerrit Dou, consummate master of artifice, was renowned for the illusionism of his niche pictures.[1] As in this remarkable painting, Dou would place figural elements within the opening of a niche, a motif that served both as a framing device and an illusionistic construct. Dou not only situated these niches at the very front of the picture plane, but he also placed pictorial elements, like the tail of the cat in this picture, so that they extended into the viewer’s realm. To reinforce the connection to the external world, Dou always placed his light source so that it appeared to illuminate the front of the niche, generally from the upper left. The niche motif, thus, allowed Dou to examine issues of reality and illusionism that were central to his artistic concerns, ones that he reinforced in the meticulous rendering of different materials and textures, ranging from hard stone to soft fur.

In this striking painting Dou portrayed a grey-and-white-striped cat crouching in profile on a stone niche opening into an artist’s studio, a subject that is unique in the artist’s oeuvre.[2] The cat’s individualized character and the specificity of the portrayal suggest that it was modeled after a particular animal.[3] Using a brush consisting of only a few bristles, Dou applied countless minuscule strokes of multicolored paint to create the cat’s plush fur (fig 1). With its tail that seems to twitch, alert eyes, and unmistakable curiosity animating its presence, the cat appears alive as it focuses its attention on something to the right of the picture plane.

Dou appears to have conceived this unusual painting with a quite different composition. X-radiographs have shown that Dou initially painted a young woman leaning forward toward the cat before painting the red curtain hanging from the niche ([fig 2] and [fig 3]).[4] An infrared reflectogram reveals a small rectangular shape in the lower right corner of the windowsill that may have been a mousetrap ([fig 4] and [fig 5]).[5] Technical photographs also suggest that the niche once extended beyond the upper and right edges of the panel, suggesting that Dou initially began painting on a larger panel that he later reduced in format. This idea is reinforced by the fact that only a single bevel is found on the reverse of the panel, by which one can infer that the panel has been trimmed down on the other three sides.[6]

Other composition changes may also have occurred. The X-radiograph and infrared photograph indicate that a curtain was initially gathered about
two-thirds up the left side of the painting and that a circular object once existed in the center-left, perhaps a globe resting on a table (fig 6) and (fig 7). Nevertheless, it is not certain whether or not these pictorial elements were part of a preliminary stage of the final composition or belonged to an earlier, altogether unrelated scene.

A painting focused on a cat is quite unusual in seventeenth-century painting. The most comparable image occurs not in a painting but in an engraving—Cornelis Visscher’s (1629–58) The Large Cat, ca. 1657 (fig 8). Not only do the two works date from about the same year, they both portray a crouching feline in profile. Although Dou may have known of this print and it may have inspired his painting, a direct connection seems unlikely since the two works are quite different in character. The cat in Visscher’s engraving is dozing and has not noticed a small mouse in behind it, whereas Dou’s cat is alert and alive, intently gazing into the distance.

*Cat Crouching on the Ledge of an Artist’s Atelier* relates to a very different iconographic tradition than that of Visscher’s engraving, one that stems from the animal’s reputation for extraordinary vision. This attribute was often exploited by late sixteenth- and early seventeenth-century artists in their depictions of the Allegory of Sight (*Visus*).[11] An engraving from 1595, *Sense of Sight* by Jan Saenredam (1565–1607) after a design by Hendrick Goltzius (1558–1617), shows a cat, possibly an exotic lynx, looking out at the viewer, while a woman wearing a plunging décolleté admires herself in the mirror as her suitor embraces her and gazes wantonly at her bosom (fig 9).[12] This aspect of sight is further expressed by the poignant gaze of the feline crouching next to Adam and Eve in Hendrick Goltzius’s *Fall of Man* in the National Gallery of Art, Washington (fig 10).[13] Reinterpreted here with the familiarity and immediacy of daily life, Dou emphasizes the cat as the embodiment of sight by highlighting its intense gaze and alert demeanor.

As in many of his other niche scenes, Dou also includes a scene in the deep recesses of the background that enhances the painting’s iconographic meaning. Here one sees an artist painting at his easel. Although Dou often included easels in the backgrounds of his paintings, nowhere else does he depict an artist actively engaged in painting.[14] Near the artist is a violin resting on a table, a reference to the parallels that exist...
between a musician and a painter, both of whom use the imagination to create their works of art.[15]

The prominent red curtain, beautifully depicted with subtle violet highlights shimmering in the cascading of light across the iridescent fabric, also relates to the painting’s underlying concern for the interrelationship of illusion and reality. The depiction of such a dazzling curtain evokes the famous story from classical antiquity of Parrhasius, whose painting of a curtain was depicted in such a lifelike manner that it fooled his artistic rival, Zeuxis, who asked that it be pulled aside to reveal the supposedly-concealed painting beneath it. The story of artistic rivalry was retold by seventeenth-century art theorists such as Karel van Mander (1548–1606) in his Schilder-Boeck (1604) and by Phillips Angel (ca. 1618–62) in his Praise of Painting (1642).[16] Dou explicitly referenced the anecdote in Painter with a Pipe and Book from ca. 1645 in the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam (fig 11).[17] Dou was often praised for his convincing illusionism by contemporaries like the poet Dirck Traudenius, who referred to Dou in 1662 as the “Dutch Parrhasius.”[18]

The question remains as to why Dou turned his iconographic focus from a figural group involving a cat, a mousetrap, and young woman to a simplified scene of a cat alone within an architectural niche. The iconography of the cat and mousetrap was relatively novel in genre painting in the 1650s, and with his initial layout of the composition, Dou may have intended to explore the symbolism of love’s entrapment.[19] The earlier compositional idea relates to paintings Dou made somewhat earlier in the 1650s, such as Kitchen Scene in Copenhagen, Statens Museum for Kunst, or The Mousetrap in Montpellier, Musée Fabre (fig 12).[20] Dou’s final image emphasizing the cat’s sense of sight was an innovative way of reinterpreting this pictorial tradition to relate this theme to the status of the artist.

The present work is one of three known paintings by Dou featuring animals.[21] King Augustus II acquired Cat Crouching on the Ledge of an Artist’s Atelier in the early eighteenth century for the imperial house collections of the Royal Palace in Dresden, where it remained, with nineteen other autograph paintings by Dou, until the third decade of the twentieth century.[22] In the 1920s the Gemäldegalerie in Dresden deaccessioned the painting, and handed the work over to the former Royal
House of Wettin as part of a group of restituted artworks from the State of Saxony. It was subsequently acquired by a private collector in Germany. It remained in that collection until 2006, when it was acquired by the Leiden Collection.

-Dominique Surh

Fig 10. Hendrick Goltzius, Fall of Man, 1616, oil on canvas, 104.5 x 138.4 cm, National Gallery of Art, Washington D.C., Patrons' Permanent Fund, 1996.34.1

Fig 11. Gerrit Dou, Painter with Pipe and Book, ca. 1645, oil on panel, 48 x 37 cm, Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam, SK-A-86

Fig 12. Gerrit Dou, The Mousetrap, ca. 1650, oil on panel, 47 x 36 cm, Musée Fabre, Montpellier, © Musée Fabre — Montpellier Agglomération / cliché F. Jaulmes
Endnotes


4. Ronni Baer has suggested that the young woman originally belonged to a separate composition and that when she was painted out, the cat and the curtain were painted in. Baer speculates that the young woman was intended as part of an independent kitchen scene and was shown leaning forward to pour from a jug. See Ronni Baer, “Of Cats and Dogs: Domestic Pets in Rembrandt and Dou,” in *Een Kroniek voor Jeroen Giltaij: Kroniek van het Rembrandthuis* (2012): 67–69. n. 19, fig. 4.

5. The object has a diagonal lever at the top and is strikingly similar to other mousetraps in paintings of the period, as seen, for example, in Domenicus van Tol’s *Boy with a Mousetrap by Candlelight*, from ca. 1664–65 (DT-100) or in Dou’s *Girl with a Cat and Mousetrap* (fig. 6). For a different interpretation of the changes visible in the X-radiograph, see Ronni Baer, “Of Cats and Dogs: Domestic Pets in Rembrandt and Dou,” in *Een Kroniek voor Jeroen Giltaij: Kroniek van het Rembrandthuis* (2012): 67–69.


7. The infrared photograph was taken with a InGaAs camera with 1500–1680nm, bandpass filter (photograph: Shawn Digney-Peer). The globe appears not to have been painted but merely planned. See Dominique Surh, Ilona van Tuinen, and John Twilley, “Insights from Technical Analysis on a Group of Paintings by Gerrit Dou in the Leiden Collection,” *Journal of Historians of Netherlandish Art* 6, no. 1 (Winter, 2014).

8. For an argument that Dou incorporated the cat in the initial stage of the preparatory design because its silhouette was left in reserve and remained unchanged despite the other modifications to the composition, see Dominique Surh, Ilona van Tuinen, and John Twilley,
“Insights from Technical Analysis on a Group of Paintings by Gerrit Dou in the Leiden Collection,” *Journal of Historians of Netherlandish Art* 6, no. 1 (Winter, 2014). Arthur Wheelock (verbal communication with Dominique Surh) has questioned this conclusion since no evidence of a reserve is seen in the X-radiograph of the painting.

9. Cats were often included in painting as ancillary figures, with a wide array of associations and meanings. For a survey of the cat in Dutch seventeenth-century painting, see Susan Donahue Kuretsky, “Rembrandt’s Cat,” in *Aemulatio: Imitation, Emulation and Invention in Netherlandish Art from 1500 to 1800; Essays in Honor of Eric Jan Sluijter* (Zwolle, 2011), 263–76.


12. *The New Hollstein Dutch and Flemish Etchings, Engravings and Woodcuts 1450–1700: Hendrick Goltzius*, vol. 4, compiled by Harjolein Leesberg and edited by Huigen Leeflang (Ouderkerk aan den IJssel, 2012), 106–14, nos. 694–98. The inscription at the bottom of Saenredam’s *Sense of Sight* reads: “Dum male lascivi nimium cohibentur ocelli / In vitium praeceps stulta ruit” (While wickedly wanton eyes are too confined / foolish youth falls headlong into vice [trans. David Ratzen]). The choice of a lynx in the Allegory of Sight is perhaps significant, since the word “lynx” comes from the Indo-European root of a word that means “light” or “brightness,” in reference to the wildcat’s reflective eyes. Pliny the Elder, in his *Natural History* (8,28), regarded the vision of the lynx to be superior to all other animals, calling it “the most clear sighted of all quadrupeds.” (8.28). See Elisabeth Piirainen, “Folk Narratives and Legends as sources of Widespread Idioms: Toward a Lexicon of Common Figurative Units,” *Folklore* 48 (2011): 129.

13. A cat comingling with a monkey, referring to a sinful man who allows himself to be ruled by lust, appears in the central foreground of Cornelis Cornelisz. van Haarlem, *Fall of Man*, signed and dated 1592, oil on panel, 274 x 220 cm, Amsterdam, Rijksmuseum, inv. No. SK-A-129.

14. Other works by Dou with artists’ easels in the background include his *Self-Portrait*, ca.


17. While Dou often included curtains as framing devices in his portraits of the early 1640s (see GD-116) the device takes on a heightened form of illusion as a trompe l’oeil element with the Rijksmuseum painting. Dou’s teacher, Rembrandt, similarly exploited the illusionistic effects of a fictitious curtain in his *Holy Family of 1646*, now in the Gemäldegalerie in Kassel, while Dou’s former pupil, Frans van Mieris (1635–81), paid tribute to the classical anecdote in his painting with Adriaen van der Spelt (1630–73), *A Trompe l’oeil with a Garland of Flowers* © 2017 The Leiden Collection


19. The image of a cat watching a mousetrap was well-known in emblematic traditions. It first appeared in Daniel Heinsius’s popular book of love emblems from 1608, Emblemata amatoria, where it symbolized the ensnarement of love, with the weary, trapped mouse representing the lovelust soul and the cat embodying the lust that ultimately consumes its prey. Heinsius’s twentieth emblem depicts a mouse inside a wooden trap, too frightened to come out for fear of the lurking cat who keeps watch beside it, while a mischievous Cupid with his bow and arrow iterates an underlying theme of love. The accompanying verse comments on the predicament of the mouse, “Il mal mi preme, et mi spaventa il peggio” (Evil pursues me and fear of worse haunts me), the first line of a love sonnet by Petrarch. Heinsius’s Dutch poem moralizes the emblem by drawing a parallel between the human entrapment of love and the ill-fated mouse, whose predicament leads to his demise.

20. Some examples of the subject include three paintings by Adriaen van der Werff (1659–1722): Children with a Cat and Guinea Pig, signed and dated 1681, oil on panel, 33.9 x 27.3 cm., London, Buckingham Palace; Child with a Mouse and Mousetrap, oil on panel, 19.2 x 13.3 cm., London, The National Gallery; and Two Children Playing with a Cat and a Bird in its Jaws, signed and dated 1678, oil on panel, 24.8 x 19 cm, Johnny van Haeften, London. See also Eglon van der Neer (1634–1703), Children with a Cat and Bird, oil on panel, 21 x 17 cm., Karlsruhe, Staatliche Kunsthalle Karlsruhe; Willem van Mieris (1662–1747) and Pieter Cornelisz van Slingeland (1640–91), Dead Mouse, oil on panel,
signed and dated 1693, 22.7 x 19.6 cm.

21. See the entry on GD-114.

22. I would like to thank Dr. Ute Neidhardt and her research intern Ulrike Müller for providing copies of Steinhäuser’s unpublished inventory of 1722. The inventory states that GD-108 was delivered by Raschke, who was Prime-Commissar to King Augustus II, and lists GD-108 as “Ein Cipper Katze” under number “587.” This inventory number corresponds to the original number that appears at the lower right of the painting in tan-colored paint. See also Annegret Laabs, *The Leiden Fijnschilders from Dresden* (Exh. cat. Leiden, Stedelijk Museum de Lakenhal) (Zwolle, 2000).


**Provenance**

- Art market, Antwerp; [to Raschke, First Commissioner for King Augustus II].
- King Augustus II (1670–1733), Royal House Collections, Dresden, by 1722; Collection of the Gemäldegalerie Alte Meister, Dresden, by 1817; restituted to the House of Wettin, 1924; [P. Rusch, Dresden, 1927].
- From whom acquired by the present owner in 2006.
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Beijing, National Museum of China, “Rembrandt and His Time: Masterpieces from The Leiden Collection,” 17 June–3 September 2017 [lent by the present owner].
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The support is a vertically grained panel made from a single oak plank of Baltic origin. The wood comes from a tree felled after 1588. The panel is beveled only on the right edge, as viewed from reverse. There are four overlapping circles inscribed in the reverse of the panel in the lower quarter. The center of each is marked with a compass point. According to Ian Tyers’
Dendochronological report, the presence of these “partial ‘daisy-wheel’ apotropaic mark[s] scratched on the back of the board...both strongly suggests that it has been cut down, and also that it is a re-used board, possibly originating from room paneling.”[2]

The panel was prepared with a light colored ground. It is radio-opaque, indicating it contains a dense pigment such as lead white, and it accentuates the wood grain in the X-radiograph. The paint was built up in thin layers of light over dark. Infrared reflectography [3] and the x-radiograph show numerous compositional changes, which may be indicative of an entirely different composition. Originally a young woman was depicted leaning forward in the lower right corner of the painting. Her proper right arm was bent as if she was pouring something. Just below this figure was a rectangular shape that may have been a mousetrap. In addition, there was a curtain on the left side of the composition and a round shape, which may have been a globe, can be seen vertically centered on the left. Also, the arch of the niche was originally taller and broader, extending beyond the edge of the panel on the top and the right. This is further indication that the panel was originally larger.

The painting is in good condition. There are drying cracks in the dark brown shadows and there are a few areas of slightly raised paint. There are small protrusions and related craters throughout the paint. The painting was treated in 2006.

**Technical Summary Endnotes**

1. Ian Tyers, Dendochronological report November 2010.

2. Ian Tyers, Dendochronological report November 2010.

3. Infrared reflectography at 1500 – 1680 microns was performed by Shawn Digney-Peer using an InGaAs camera with a bandpass filter.